

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCK		CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/857,600	09/10/2001	Ludo Adriaensen	016782-0230 6512		
	7590 12/26/2002				
Glenn Law			EXAMINER		
Foley & Lardner			GRAY, JILL M		
	arbour Suite 500	•			
3000 K Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, I	OC 20007-5109		1774		
			DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002	2 8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_	As
<del>.</del>		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)
•		09/857,600	,	ADRIAENSEN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit
		Jill M Gray		1774
	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the co	ver sheet with th	e correspondence address
Period for	r Reply			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3:61X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, apply received by the Office later than three months after the difference of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. I ION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, heation. ays, a reply within the statutory any period will apply and will exp	minimum of thirty (30) bire SIX (6) MONTHS	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONFD (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 08 October 2002		
2a)⊠		This action is no		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	or allowance except fo	or formal matters	s, prosecution as to the ments is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
-	on of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the app	plication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consi	deration.	
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requ	uirement.	
	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.	tad ta by the	Evaminer
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	) accepted or b) of	plected to by the	e See 37 CFR 1 85(a).
_	Applicant may not request that any objective proposed drawing correction filed of	ice all ann	roved b) disa	poroved by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed to	ired in reply to this Offic	e action	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ		C dollor.	
•	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examinor.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	or foreign priority unde	ar 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority and	J, 00 0.0.0. 3 .	
<b>a</b> )	All b) Some * c) None of:	soumonts have been	received	
	1. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been	received in Ann	lication No.
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of	Ocuments have been	te have been re	ceived in this National Stage
*	3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of application from the Interna See the attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PC) R	ule 17.2(a)).	
141	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r domestic priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
	a)	uage provisional app	lication has bee	n received.
Attachme				
1) Not	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948) per No(s) <u>2</u> .	4) Interview Su 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Creps 4, 358, 887.

Creps teaches a coated steel wire comprising a steel core that is covered with an intermediate coating layer and immediately thereupon with a polymer, said polymer being a polyester as required by claims 1 and 8. See abstract. In addition, Creps teaches that the intermediate coating layer can be zinc as required by claim 6 and that the coating of the intermediate layer is by the hot-dip method.

Accordingly, Creps anticipates the invention as claimed in claims 1, 6, and 8-9.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-5, 7, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Creps in view of European Patent Publication No. 791,453, (hereinafter Busby) and Great Britain Publication No. 2,077,762 (Sou).

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600

Art Unit: 1774

Creps is as set forth above but does not teach that the polymer has a transparent coloring agent or pigment. Busby teaches a polymer coated steel substrate having an intermediate zinc coating and a transparent polymer film coated thereon having an organic coloring media dispersed therein as required by claims 2, 5 and 10. The polymer can be polyethylene terephthalate as required by claims 3-4. In addition, Busby teaches that the coloring media is such that the steel can be decorated or to encapsulate messages or logos. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Creps by including a coloring agent or pigment in the transparent polymer layer to decorate or color the resultant wire. Regarding claim 11, Sou teaches the formation of zinc-coated wire wherein the final rod is wet drawn which gives the rod a smooth bright finish. It would have been obvious to wet draw the wire of Creps to give the resultant wire a smooth bright finish before coating with a polymer film. As to claim 12, Busby teaches in column 1, lines 50-52 that the polymer film can be extruded onto the steel substrate. Regarding claim 7, metal coating of steel substrates is known in the art and the selection of copper or copper alloy is would have been an obvious variant commensurate with the desired properties of the end product. Furthermore, the selection of copper or copper alloy over other metals is not construed to be a matter of invention in the absence of clear factual evidence to the contrary.

Therefore, the prior art teachings of Creps, Busby and Sou would have rendered obvious the invention as claimed in the present claims.

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill M Gray whose telephone number is 703.308.2381. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 703.308.0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.5408 for regular communications and 703.305.3599 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/857,600

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0651.

Examiner

jmg December 22, 2002